

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/691,273	10/18/2000	Robert Anthony Marin	TK-3410-US-NA	4960		
23906	7590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER			
	T DE NEMOURS AND (	SALVATOR	SALVATORE, LYNDA			
	ENT RECORDS CENTER LL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1771	1771		
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	(_			
			1,273	MARIN ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			M Salvatore	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	S			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 30) days, a reply within the latutory period will apply any will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be t statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this commun  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)  ズ	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>03 June 200</i> 4	4					
•	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 June 2004</u> . a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
· · · · · ·		·—		rosecution as to the mer	rits is			
٠,٠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•						
-		oonding in the anni	ioation					
•	Claim(s) <u>2-4,7-18 and 21-30</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ile Williamii Iloili	Consideration.					
		rojected						
	Claim(s) <u>2-4,7-18 and 21-30</u> is/are r Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ejecteu.						
	Claim(s) israre objected to:  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electio	n requirement					
·			ir roquiroment.					
	ion Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the							
. 10)∐	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		•					
	Applicant may not request that any obje							
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	=	•	•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT F	peen received. Deen received in Applica Deen received in Applica Deen receive (a)	tion No ved in this National Stag	е			
Attachmen	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	ı			

Application/Control Number: 09/691,273

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed 06/03/04 have been fully considered and entered. Claims 1,5,6,19 and 20 have been amended and claims 2-4, 7-12, 21 and 25-30 have been canceled as requested. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, however, upon further consideration of Applicant's amendments a new ground of rejection is set forth herein below.

## Response to Arguments

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Applicant's cancellation of claims 1,5, and 6 renders moot the rejection of claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. As such this rejection is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's cancellation of claims 1,5, and 6 renders moot the rejection of claims 1-18 and 24-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for flash-spun sheet material made of polyethylene and one of two solvents (i.e., n-pentane or FR-EONY-I 1), does not reasonably provide enablement for the presently claimed flash-spun sheet material having the recited hydrostatic head pressure, Gurley Hill porosity, and MVTR-LYSSY. As such this rejection is hereby withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,273 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

## Response to Arguments

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Applicant's cancellation of claims 5 renders moot the rejection of claims 5,26, and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Lim et al., US 5,290,628. As such, this rejection is hereby withdrawn.

## Response to Arguments

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 5. Applicant's cancellation of claims 1,5, and 6 renders moot the rejection of claims 1-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over or Steuber, US 3,169,899. As such, this rejection is hereby withdrawn.
- 6. Applicant's cancellation of claims 1,5, and 6 renders moot the rejection of claims 1-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Blades US 3,081,519. As such, this rejection is hereby withdrawn.
- 7. Applicant's cancellation of claims 5 and 6 renders moot the rejection of claims 6-18 and 24-27 rejected under 102 (b) as being anticipated or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lim '628., as applied to claim 5 above. As such, this rejection is hereby withdrawn.
- 8. Applicant's cancellation of claims 5 and 6 renders moot the rejection of claim 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lim et al., US 6,034,008. As such, this rejection is hereby withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1771

9. Applicant's amendment to claim 28 is found sufficient to overcome the rejection of claim 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over or Shin et al., US 5147568. Specifically, the prior art of Shin et al., teaches employing greater than 10 wt. % of a co-solvent. As such, this rejection is hereby withdrawn.

#### Response to Arguments

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. Applicant's cancellation of claims 1,5, and 6 renders moot the rejection of claims 1-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over or Shin et al., US 5147568. As such, this rejection is hereby withdrawn. With regard to the rejections of claims 29-30, Applicant's amendments to claims 29-30 have been found to patently distinguish the claims over the prior art of Shin et al. Specifically, the prior art of Shin et al., teaches employing greater than 10 wt. % of a co-solvent. For these reasons, the rejection of claims 29-30 is also withdrawn.
- 11. Applicant's cancellation of claim 5 and amendment to claim 21 renders moot the rejection of claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shin et al., US 5147568 as applied to claims 5 and 7 above, and further in view of Bisbis et al., US 5,919,539. As such, this rejection is withdrawn.
- 12. Applicant's cancellation of claim 5 and amendment to claim 21 renders moot the rejection of claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steuber, US 3,169,899 as applied to claims 5 and 7 above, and further in view of Bisbis et al., US 5,919,539. As such, this rejection is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1771

13. Applicant's cancellation of claim 5 and amendment to claim 21 renders moot the rejection of claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blades US 3,081,519 as applied to claims 5 and 7 above, and further in view of Bisbis et al., US 5,919,539. As such, this rejection is withdrawn.

#### New Ground of Rejection

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 15. Claims 2-4, 7-18, and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGinty et al., US 6,117,801 in view of Blades et al., 3,081,519.

The patent issued to McGinty et al., teaches flash spinning a mixture comprising 17.7 % of high density polyethylene polyethylene and spin agent consisting of a mixture of 32 % cyclopentane and 68% normal pentane (Column 10, 15-25). McGinty et al., teaches flash spinning the solution into plexifilimentary film-fibrils which are collected to form a non-woven sheet (Column 10, 35-45 and Claim 7-9). McGinty et al., teaches a spin temperature of 185°C (Column 10, 28-30). McGinty et al., teaches a surface area greater than 8m²/gm (Claim 4). The resulting flash spun non-woven exhibits improved softness and quietness properties (Abstract). McGinty et al., fails to teach the claimed spinning temperature, however, the claimed spinning temperature is known in the art. For example, the patent issued to Blades et al., teaches spinning linear polyethylene at temperatures ranging from 190-216°C (Column 13, 15-30 and Table IV). Blades et al., teaches that the formed strands exhibit good softness and strength properties (Column 13, 55-60).

Application/Control Number: 09/691,273

Art Unit: 1771

Therefore, motivated by the desire to form plexifilimentary strands having the combination of properties such as softness, quietness and strength it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to increase the spinning temperature in the process taught by McGinty et al., as taught by Blade et al.

With regard to the physical property limitations recited in claims 2-4,7-18 and 28-30, although the combination of prior art does not explicitly the desired combination of crush value, surface area, Frazier Permeability, hydrostatic head, and Gurley Hill Porosity values it is reasonable to presume that said property will be met by the plexifilamentary film-fibrils of the invention of McGinty et al., in view of Blade et al. Support for said presumption is found in the use of like materials (i.e., polyethylene/normal pentane/cyclopentane) and the use of like processes (flash-spun plexifilamentary filaments at a temperature ranging from 190-216°C), which would result in the claimed property. The burden is upon the Applicant to prove otherwise

With regard to intended use of a garment, filter media or pillow cover, it is the position of the Examiner that since the prior art meets the chemical and structural limitations there is nothing to evidence that the non-woven sheet of McGinty et al., in view of Blade et al. al., could not function in the desired claimed capacities.

16. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGinty et al., US 6,117,801 in view of Blades et al., 3,081,519 as applied to claim 29 above and further in view of Bisbis et al., US 5,919,539

The combination of prior art fails to specifically teach point bonding or pattern (i.e., linen and ribbed), however, the patent issued to Bisbis et al., teaches bonding TYVEK® Style 1422A panels/sheets, which are made from flash-spun polyethylene

Application/Control Number: 09/691,273

Art Unit: 1771

plexi-filamentary fibers that have been thermally bonded (Examples 1-4). Bisbis et al., describes the TYVEK® Style 1422A as having a linen texture on one side and a ribbed texture on the opposite side.

Therefore, motivated to provide a textured surface it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to bond non-woven article of McGinty et al., in view of Blade et al. al., in the same manner as the TYVEK® Style 1422A of Bisbis et al.

#### Conclusion

17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda M Salvatore whose telephone number is 571-272-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,273 Page 8

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1482. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 21, 2004